

A discussion of the need for and impact of selected interventions to address regulatory gaps within healthcare financing, with the aim of strengthening competition

Dr Lungiswa Nkonki

01 February 2018



**competition commission**  
south africa

# Focus of the discussion: 3 key questions

- What interventions, if any, are required to address anti-selection, if it occurs, so as to increase meaningful competition?
- How to improve risk pooling in the market so as to improve competition?
- How could changes to medical scheme benefit options improve competition in the market?



# Social solidarity policies

- Medical schemes Act No 131 of 1998 (MSA) introduced
  - Prescribed minimum benefits (PMBs)
  - Community rating
  - Open enrolment
- It was envisaged that these policies will be accompanied by further social solidarity policies including:
  - Mandatory membership
  - Risk equalisation mechanism
  - Low cost medical schemes
  - Review of PMBs every two years



# Stakeholder views on incomplete social solidarity policies

- Raised concerns about piecemeal implementation
- Incomplete regulatory framework:
  - is one of the explanatory factors for rising healthcare costs in private healthcare
  - indicates government failure to response to market failure



# Health Market Inquiry's observation

- The current regulatory environment hinders competition within the funders market.
- Regulatory gaps may encourage medical schemes (and their administrators) to compete on factors that attract young and healthy members rather than factors that members derive real health related value from.
  - Highly complex and differentiated product offering - induces medical scheme members to self-select, based on their own perceived risk
- Wide range of benefit options
  - Contributes towards information asymmetries
  - Allows medical schemes to avoid direct price competition
- PMB's function under a number of conditions that are not conducive to an effective PMB environment



# Differing views on the existence and extent of anti-selection

- Systemic anti-selection against medical schemes
  - It undermines social solidarity and viability of medical schemes
- Current demographic structure is more a feature of demographic changes brought about from an increase in membership of those who, historically, were uninsured
- Unaffordability of medical schemes incentivised a level of anti-selection as potential members delay joining a medical scheme until they can afford it, which is typically when they are older



# RISK POOLING ACROSS MEDICAL SCHEMES

- Residual risk pooling failures affect competition in the private healthcare system in South Africa
- Absence of risk adjustment mechanism, particularly for PMBs, in private healthcare may be a structural flaw which harms competition amongst medical schemes
- Lack of a mechanism to standardise for risk limits the ability to achieve the equity goals envisaged under the social solidarity policies and prevents competition based on the efficient delivery of service



# INCOMPARABILITY OF BENEFIT OPTIONS

- Medical schemes members should have a robust understanding of the product to determine the benefit option that best their healthcare needs by proving access to value for money healthcare
- Medical schemes and administrators have told the HMI that consumers typically do not know what their benefits cover.
  - Medical scheme members tend to only become aware of the details of the products that they purchased when they want to claim or if a claim is partially paid or not paid at all.
- Findings from HMI Consumer Survey - process of selecting benefit option and the information available from medical schemes is complicated





# Focus of the discussion: 3 key questions

- What interventions, if any, are required to address anti-selection, if it occurs, so as to increase meaningful competition?
- How to improve risk pooling in the market so as to improve competition?
- How could changes to medical scheme benefit options improve competition in the market?



# Plan for the day

- In total we received 10 written submissions from:
  - CMS, Rubicon Performance Consulting, DH&DHMS JOINT submission, Medscheme, Mediclinic, Netcare, SAMED, MMI, and SAMA
- Part I ... Presentations
- Part II ... Interactive discussion



THANK YOU



**competition commission**  
south africa

*competition regulation for a growing and inclusive economy*